

The proposed merger between XM and Sirius needs to be stopped.

While the merger may be beneficial to stockholders (of which I am one), there is simply no way that it can be beneficial to consumers. There is a reason that some consumers choose XM and some choose Sirius. That reason is that they are different companies with different offerings. Both have different types of musical channels. Both have different types of comedy channels. And most importantly to me personally, both have different types of talk radio channels. I chose XM, because they had the channels that I was most interested in.

The biggest problem with the merger will be the lack of choice that consumers will have. Regardless of what anyone says, it would be foolish of a company to pay two channels, be they talk, music, lifestyle, etc., to compete with each other. It may happen for a while, but soon enough, it will all fall out to be what most people want, or whatever they can cost justify. The people who subscribe to satellite radio are not interested in what most people want. If they were, they would still be listening to terrestrial radio, as most people do. In this situation, while decisions made based on cost justifications and shareholder value may be great for the company, they are not great for the customers.

This bit about the companies needing to merge because they are competing with internet radio, ipods, and the like is nonsense. I will grant that some people will may choose an ipod over satellite radio, but those people aren't interested in choices. They have pre-determined their content. I have both, but I prefer satellite radio, because I choose not to spend hours determining what I want to listen too.

Put simply, this deal is about money. There is no realistic way that

anyone can say that consumers will be better off. Consumers

Eric Holder

-----_=_NextPart_001_01C7AD0D.304DC266

Content-Type: text/html;

charset="us-ascii"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

```
<html xmlns:o=3D"urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office"
xmlns:w=3D"urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:word"
xmlns:st1=3D"urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:smarttags"
xmlns=3D"http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-html40">
```

```
<head>
```

```
<META HTTP-EQUIV=3D"Content-Type" CONTENT=3D"text/html;
charset=3Dus-ascii">
```

```
<meta name=3DGenerator content=3D"Microsoft Word 11 (filtered medium)">
```

```
<o:SmartTagType
```

```
namespaceuri=3D"urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:smarttags"
name=3D"City"/>
```

```
<o:SmartTagType
```

```
namespaceuri=3D"urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:smarttags"
name=3D"place"/>
```

```
<!--[if !mso]>
```

```
<style>
```

```
st1\.*{behavior:url(#default#ieooui) }
```

```
</style>
```

```
<![endif]-->
```

```
<style>
```

```
<!--
```

```
/* Style Definitions */
```

```
p.MsoNormal, li.MsoNormal, div.MsoNormal
```

```
{margin:0in;
```

margin-bottom:.0001pt;
font-size:12.0pt;
font-family:"Times New Roman";}
a:link, span.MsoHyperlink
{color:blue;
text-decoration:underline;}
a:visited, span.MsoHyperlinkFollowed
{color:purple;
text-decoration:underline;}

pre
{margin:0in;
margin-bottom:.0001pt;
font-size:10.0pt;
font-family:"Courier New";}
span.EmailStyle17
{mso-style-type:personal-compose;
font-family:Arial;
color:windowtext;}

@page Section1
{size:8.5in 11.0in;
margin:1.0in 1.25in 1.0in 1.25in;}
div.Section1
{page:Section1;}

-->
</style>

</head>

<body lang=3DEN-US link=3Dblue vlink=3Dpurple>

<div class=3DSection1><pre><span
style=3D'font-size:10.0pt'><PROCEEDING>07-57<o:p></o:p></fon
t></pre><pre><font
size=3D2 face=3D"Courier New"><span
style=3D'font-size:10.0pt'><DATE>6/12/07<o:p></o:p></
pre><pre><font
size=3D2 face=3D"Courier New"><span
style=3D'font-size:10.0pt'><NAME>Eric
Holder<o:p></o:p></pre><pre><font

size=3D2 face=3D"Courier New"><span
style=3D'font-size:10.0pt'><ADDRESS1>100 <st1:place
w:st=3D"on"><st1:City w:st=3D"on">Dalton</st1:City></st1:place>
Ct<o:p></o:p></pre><pre><font
size=3D2 face=3D"Courier New"><span
style=3D'font-size:10.0pt'><ADDRESS2><o:p></o:p></pre>
><pre><font
size=3D2 face=3D"Courier New"><span
style=3D'font-size:10.0pt'><CITY><st1:place
w:st=3D"on"><st1:City w:st=3D"on">Warner
Robins</st1:City></st1:place><o:p></o:p></pre><pre><font
size=3D2 face=3D"Courier New"><span
style=3D'font-size:10.0pt'><STATE>GA<o:p></o:p></pre>
<pre><font
size=3D2 face=3D"Courier New"><span
style=3D'font-size:10.0pt'><ZIP>31088<o:p></o:p></pre>
><pre><font
size=3D2 face=3D"Courier New"><span
style=3D'font-size:10.0pt'><LAW-FIRM><o:p></o:p></pre>
><pre><font
size=3D2 face=3D"Courier New"><span
style=3D'font-size:10.0pt'><ATTORNEY><o:p></o:p></pre>
><pre><font
size=3D2 face=3D"Courier New"><span
style=3D'font-size:10.0pt'><FILE-NUMBER><o:p></o:p></pre></pre><font
size=3D2 face=3D"Courier New"><span
style=3D'font-size:10.0pt'><DOCUMENT-TYPE>CO<o:p></o:p></pre></pre><font
size=3D2 face=3D"Courier New"><span
style=3D'font-size:10.0pt'><PHONE-NUMBER>478-333-6937<o:p></o:p></s
pan></pre><pre><font
size=3D2 face=3D"Courier New"><span
style=3D'font-size:10.0pt'><DESCRIPTION>Email
Comment<o:p></o:p></pre><pre><font
size=3D2 face=3D"Courier New"><span
style=3D'font-size:10.0pt'><CONTACT-EMAIL>teholder@cox.net<o:p></o:
p></pre><pre><font
size=3D2 face=3D"Courier New"><span

style=3D'font-size:10.0pt'><TEXT><o:p></o:p></pre>

<p class=3DMsoNormal>The proposed merger between XM and Sirius needs to be stopped.<o:p></o:p></p>

<p class=3DMsoNormal><o:p> </o:p></p>

<p class=3DMsoNormal>While the merger may be beneficial to stockholders (of which I am one), there is simply no way that it can be beneficial to consumers. There is a reason that some consumers choose XM and some choose Sirius. That reason is that they are different companies with different offerings. Both have different types of musical channels. Both have different types of comedy channels. And most importantly to me personally, both have different types of talk radio channels. I chose XM, because they had the channels that I was most interested in. <o:p></o:p></p>

<p class=3DMsoNormal><o:p> </o:p></p>

<p class=3DMsoNormal>The biggest problem with the merger will be the lack of choice that consumers will have. Regardless of what anyone says, it would be foolish of a

company to pay two channels, be they talk, music, lifestyle, etc., to compete with each other. It may happen for a while, but soon enough, it will all fall out to be what most people want, or whatever they can cost justify. The people who subscribe to satellite radio are not interested in what most people want. If they were, they would still be listening to terrestrial radio, as most people do. In this situation, while decisions made based on cost justifications and shareholder value may be great for the company, they are not great for the customers.

<p class=3DMsoNormal><o:p> </o:p></p>

<p class=3DMsoNormal>This bit about the companies needing to merge because they are competing with internet radio, ipods, and the like is nonsense. I will grant that some people will may choose an ipod over satellite radio, but those people aren't interested in choices. They have pre-determined their content. I have both, but I prefer satellite radio, because I choose not to spend hours determining what I want to listen too. <o:p></o:p></p>

<p class=3DMsoNormal><o:p> </o:p></p>

<p class=3DMsoNormal><span style=3D'font-size:

12.0pt'>Put simply, this deal is about money. There is no realistic way that

anyone can say that consumers will be better off.

Consumers<font

size=3D2 face=3DArial><span

style=3D'font-size:10.0pt;font-family:Arial'><o:p></o:p></p>

>

<p class=3DMsoNormal><span

style=3D'font-size:10.0pt;

font-family:Arial'><o:p> </o:p></p>

<p class=3DMsoNormal><span

style=3D'font-size:10.0pt;

font-family:Arial'>Eric Holder<o:p></o:p></p>

<p class=3DMsoNormal><span

style=3D'font-size:

12.0pt'><o:p> </o:p></p>

</div>

</body>

</html>

-----_=_NextPart_001_01C7AD0D.304DC266--